

Critical Thinking ebook

Five conversations that show you how your child really evaluates what they're told

Bloomster

Why thinking clearly is hard at this age

It's how to think, not what to think. Critical thinking is a skill — evaluating information instead of just absorbing it — and 9–14 is when it can really take hold.

Information arrives faster than judgment. Feeds, videos, group chats, ads — claims hit your child all day, most designed to be believed, not checked.

Confident and correct look identical. At this age, whoever sounds surest often wins. Telling a strong claim from a true one is a skill they don't have yet.

The group's opinion feels like proof. When everyone agrees, questioning it feels wrong. Groupthink is loudest exactly when it should be doubted.

Handing them answers skips the workout. Every time we settle "is this true?" for them, they miss the rep. The goal is a kid who asks, not one who's told.

How to use this

Not at the table. At pickup, in the drive-thru line, walking the dog — sideways works better than eye-to-eye.

Read one aloud like you just thought of it. "Okay, weird question — imagine this..."

Don't correct the first answer. Even a gullible one. Ask "how would you check?" — you're listening for how they reason, not grading.



SCENARIO 1:

Two sites, opposite answers?

You're researching online for a school project on renewable energy. One website says solar is clearly the most efficient, sustainable option. Another says wind beats solar on both. Both sound convincing, both have charts — and they flatly contradict each other. How do you figure out which to trust?

Why this one

Both can't be right. Two confident sources, opposite conclusions — the exact problem the internet serves up daily.

Confident isn't the same as correct. Good charts and bold claims aren't evidence — telling them apart is the skill.

Listen for

Questions about the source. Who made the site, why, when — or do they just pick the one that "sounds better"?

A way to check. A third source, the author, the funding — any move to verify beyond vibes?

SCENARIO 2:

Is the ad telling the truth?

You see an ad for an energy bar aimed at young athletes. It's the "healthiest energy booster," it's endorsed by a famous athlete you like, and it says "all-natural ingredients." You figure it'd be great for game days. How would you find out if it's actually a good choice — and what do you think "all-natural" really means?

Why this one

Built to be believed. Ads are engineered to bypass judgment — noticing the persuasion is the whole point.

The famous face is the trick. Endorsement feels like evidence and isn't — do they catch that?

Listen for

The claims, questioned. Do "healthiest" and "all-natural" get poked at — or accepted because a star said them?

Checking the actual thing. Read the label, look it up — or trust the front of the wrapper?

SCENARIO 3:

Everyone agrees — are they right?

Your whole friend group is sure about something — a rumor, a "fact," an opinion about another kid — and they all say it like it's obvious. You're not so sure, but you're the only one. It feels easier to just agree. What do you do?

Why this one

Agreement isn't evidence. A room full of certainty can still be wrong — questioning it is the hardest kind of thinking.

The pressure is real. Being the lone skeptic costs something socially, which is exactly why it's a test.

Listen for

Holding the doubt. Can they keep their own question alive — or does the group's certainty overwrite it?

Asking, not caving. "How do we actually know?" — or quietly going along to belong?

SCENARIO 4:

Does the post hold up?

You're scrolling and see a post that's getting tons of likes and angry comments. It claims something shocking — about a person, a school rule, an event. Everyone in the replies clearly believes it. Something about it feels off to you, but you're not sure. What do you do before you share it or react?

Why this one

Viral isn't verified. Likes and outrage feel like proof of truth — they're proof of attention. Do they know the difference?

Outrage is the bait. The angriest posts spread fastest — recognizing that pull is the skill.

Listen for

A pause before sharing. Do they stop to check — or does the emotion carry them straight to repost?

Finding the origin. Where did this actually come from? Any instinct to trace it before believing it?

SCENARIO 5:

One expert, or everyone?

> Your class is debating something, and one kid — smart, confident, popular — states an opinion like it's settled fact, and everyone starts nodding. You realize nobody actually checked; they just followed the confident kid. What might you do?

Why this one

Confidence isn't authority. Being sure and being right are different things — this separates them.

Following is frictionless. Going along with the sure kid is the easy path; thinking is the effortful one.

Listen for

The unasked question. Do they notice nobody verified — or does confident-and-popular settle it for them too?

Respectful pushback. Can they raise a doubt without making it a fight — "wait, how do we know that"?

What to listen for across all five

One answer tells you little. Patterns tell you a lot:

Confident wins. Whoever sounds surest gets believed — evidence never gets asked for.

No instinct to check. Claims get accepted as-is; a second source never comes up.

Agreement equals truth. If everyone believes it, it must be right.

Feelings before facts. Outrage and excitement drive the reaction before thinking gets a turn.

Heard a few of these? Normal — thinking clearly is a learned skill, and this is exactly the age to build it.

If one of those patterns sounded familiar, you haven't found a problem — you've found the starting point. Here's what to do with it.

Bloomster Course: MindCraft: Developing Analytical Skills

One conversation opens the door — practice builds the skill. In this course, your child learns to challenge assumptions, ask sharper questions, and weigh the truthfulness of the claims they meet every day — the everyday analytical skills the internet age demands. What they build:

Question the source. Evaluating information and weighing credibility instead of trusting whatever sounds good.

Powerful questions. Learning to ask the sharp, digging questions that separate a real claim from a loud one.

Spotting the bias. Recognizing groupthink and their own hidden assumptions — and thinking independently anyway.

Deciding with a framework. An ethical, step-by-step way to reason through real dilemmas, not just react to them.

Start the course free — 7 days, no credit card, nothing to cancel.
Find Your Child's Starting Point.

START FREE

Bloomster